Example Write a function factorial such that:

```
If n \Rightarrow a non-negative integer, then (factorial n) \Rightarrow n!.
```

Recall the following rules for writing recursive functions of 1 argument, which is a proper list or a nonnegative integer:

- When writing a recursive function f, we can first suppose a function f that correctly solves the same problem has already been written.
- Our own version of f can call the supposedly already written f; but when our version is called with an argument value x, it is only allowed to call the supposedly already written f with an argument value that is <u>valid</u> for f and <u>smaller</u> in size than x.

Assuming factorial has already been written correctly, here is a function that works provided $n \Rightarrow a$ nonzero integer:

```
(defun my-factorial (n)
  (let ((X (factorial (- n 1))))
     (* n X)))
```

Example Write a function **factorial** such that: If $n \Rightarrow a$ non-negative integer, then (factorial n) $\Rightarrow n$!.

• Our own version of f can call the supposedly already written f; but when our version is called with an argument value x, it is only allowed to call the supposedly already written f with an argument value that is <u>valid</u> for f and <u>smaller</u> in size than x.

Assuming factorial has already been written correctly, here is a function that works provided $n \Rightarrow a$ nonzero integer:

- We use the fact that: For example:
- Importantly, n * (n-1)! = n! holds even when n = 1, as 0! = 1.

n * (n-1)! = n! 5 * 4! = 5 * 4 * 3 * 2 * 1 = 5!

If n ⇒ 0, the above definition <u>violates</u> the "call the supposedly already written f with an argument value that is <u>valid</u> for f and <u>smaller</u> in size" condition, because (- n 1) is <u>not</u> a valid argument value for factorial if n ⇒ 0.

```
Example Write a function factorial such that:
    If n ⇒ a non-negative integer, then (factorial n) ⇒ n!.
Assuming factorial has already been written correctly, here is a function that works provided n ⇒ a nonzero integer:
    (defun my-factorial (n)
        (let ((X (factorial (- n 1))))
        (* n X)))
```

- If n ⇒ 0, the above definition <u>violates</u> the "call the supposedly already written f with an argument value that is <u>valid</u> for f and <u>smaller</u> in size" condition, because (- n 1) is <u>not</u> a valid argument value for factorial if n ⇒ 0.
- To make our function good <u>even when n ⇒ 0</u>, we add a case:
 (defun better-my-factorial (n)
 (if (zerop n)

(let ((X (factorial (- n 1))))

(* n X))))

```
Example Write a function factorial such that:
  If n \Rightarrow a non-negative integer, then (factorial n) \Rightarrow n!.
Assuming factorial has already been written correctly, here
is a function that works:
  (defun better-my-factorial (n)
    (if (zerop n)
         (let ((X (factorial (- n 1))))
           (* n X)))
But this still assumes factorial has <u>already</u> been written.
Q. How can we write factorial?
A. We simply rename better-my-factorial to factorial!
```


- This definition of **factorial** is <u>not</u> circular, because when **factorial** calls itself it always passes an argument value that is <u>smaller</u> than the argument value it received.
- <u>If</u> a recursive call (factorial (- n 1)) returns the right result, <u>then</u> the call (factorial n) returns the right result.
- So, for all positive integers k, <u>if</u> (factorial i) returns the right result whenever $i \Rightarrow a$ nonnegative integer $\langle k, \underline{then} \rangle$ (factorial i) also returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow k$.
- Example: <u>If</u> (factorial i) returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow 0$, 1, 2, or 3, <u>then</u> (factorial i) also returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow 4$.

- For all positive integers k, <u>if</u> (factorial i) returns the right result whenever $i \Rightarrow a$ nonnegative integer $\langle k \rangle$, <u>then</u> (factorial i) also returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow k$.
- Example: <u>If</u> (factorial i) returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow 0$, 1, 2, or 3, <u>then</u> (factorial i) also returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow 4$.
- (factorial i) returns the right result (i.e., 1) when $i \Rightarrow 0$.
- ∴ If $i \Rightarrow$ any nonnegative integer, then (factorial i) \Rightarrow the right result (i.e., i!).

- For all positive integers k, <u>if</u> (factorial i) returns the right result whenever $i \Rightarrow a$ nonnegative integer $\langle k \rangle$, <u>then</u> (factorial i) also returns the right result when $i \Rightarrow k$.
- (factorial i) returns the right result (i.e., 1) when $i \Rightarrow 0$.
- ∴ If $i \Rightarrow$ any nonnegative integer, then (factorial i) \Rightarrow the right result (i.e., i!).
- Although this function is correct as written, we can improve / simplify the definition by eliminating the LET, because its local variable X is never used more than once.
 We then replace the X in (* n X) with (factorial (- n 1)):

- Although this function is correct as written, we can improve / simplify the definition by eliminating the LET, because its local variable X is never used more than once.
 We then replace the X in (* n X) with (factorial (- n 1)): (defun factorial (n) (if (zerop n); base case, where there's no recursive call 1 (* n (factorial (- n 1)))))
- As in the case of length-of, we've given a written explanation of a possible thought process that leads to this definition, but a Lisp programmer would likely code simple definitions like these without giving any explanation!

- Recursive functions of one argument, which is a list or a nonnegative integer, can often be written in the above way.
- The resulting definition will then have the following form (before possible elimination of the LET):

```
(defun f (e)
  (if (null e)
       value of (f nil)
       (let ((X (f (cdr e))))
          an expression that ⇒ value of (f e) and that involves X and, possibly, e )))
OR
(defun f (e)
  (if (zerop e)
       value of (f 0)
       (let ((X (f (- e 1))))
          an expression that \Rightarrow value of (f e)
          and that involves X and, possibly, e )))
```

 Recursive functions of one argument, which is a list or a nonnegative integer, can often be written as follows:

```
(defun f (e)
  (if (null e) or (zerop e)

    value of (f nil) or (f 0)

    (let ((X (f (cdr e)) or (f (- e 1)) ))

    an expression that ⇒ value of (f e)
    and that involves X and, possibly, e )))
```

- The ____ expression may have more than one case (as in problem **B** in Sec. 1 of Lisp Assignment 4): The ____ expression may, e.g., be a **COND** or **IF** expression.
- If there is no case in which X is used more than once, then <u>eliminate the LET</u>.
- If the LET isn't eliminated, <u>move any case in which X needn't</u> <u>be used out of the LET</u>. If the LET <u>is</u> eliminated but <u>there's a case where the recursive call's result isn't needed, deal with such cases as base cases--i.e., without making a recursive call.</u>

Example Write a function evens such that:

If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of integers, then (evens $l) \Rightarrow a$ list obtained from l by omitting its odd elements.

So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.

- Note that the problem specification has this form:
 - "If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of integers, then ..."

 This means our function will <u>not</u> be obligated to do anything in particular when its argument value is <u>not</u> a proper list of integers: It is logically impossible to violate the specification in that case!
- This is analogous to the meaning of a rule such as:
 If you drive on this road, then you must pay a toll.
 This rule does <u>not</u> obligate you to do anything if you do <u>not</u> drive on the road in question: It is logically impossible to violate this rule if you do not drive on the road!
- If its argument value is <u>not</u> a proper list of integers, then our function **evens** may return any value whatsoever or produce an evaluation error without violating the specification!

Example Write a function evens such that:

If $l \Rightarrow a$ proper list of integers, then (evens $l) \Rightarrow a$ list obtained from l by omitting its odd elements.

So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.

- If its argument value is <u>not</u> a proper list of integers, then our function *evens* may return any value whatsoever or produce an evaluation error without violating the specification!
- The recursive functions you are asked to write will often be specified like this (i.e., with preconditions on argument values that the function may <u>assume</u> to be satisfied).
- As a general rule, code that checks that such preconditions are satisfied should <u>not</u> be put into short recursive functions: Such checks would complicate/lengthen the code, and may be repeated unnecessarily at every recursive call.
 - Such checks may be done in "gatekeeper" functions that are used by other code to call the recursive functions.
 - O Assignments 4 & 5 don't ask you to write such "gatekeeper" functions, but only the recursive functions themselves!

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun f (e)
     (if (null e)
          value of (f nil)
          (let ((X (f (cdr e))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (f e) and that involves X and, possibly, e
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun evens (L)
     (if (null L)
          value of (evens nil) 🛶
          (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun evens (L)
     (if (null L)
          nil
          (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
So (evens '(7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3)) \Rightarrow (2 4 0 2); (evens nil) \Rightarrow nil.
• We'll solve this problem in the way that was described above:
  (defun evens (L)
     (if (null L)
          nil
          (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L
• To write the ____ expression, let's first consider
  one possible value of L, the resulting value of X,
  and what ____ 's value should be for that value of L:
  Suppose L \Rightarrow (7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 -1 4 0 9 2 3).
  Then X \Rightarrow (2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2) and \boxed{ } should \Rightarrow (2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2).
  o For <u>this</u> L, what is a good ... expression? Ans.: X
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
    (if (null L)
         nil
         (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L
• To write the ____ expression, let's first consider
 one possible value of L, the resulting value of X,
  and what walue should be for that value of L:
 Suppose L \Rightarrow (7 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 -1 4 0 9 2 3).
 Then X \Rightarrow (2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2) and \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2).
 o For <u>this</u> L, what is a good ____ expression? Ans.: X
 when is X a good ... ? Ans. It's good if (oddp (car L)).
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
     (if (null L)
          nil
          (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L

    We've seen that X is a good _____ if (oddp (car L)). To find

  a good ... if (not (oddp (car L))), we try <u>another example</u>:
  Suppose L \Rightarrow (4 2 -1 4 0 9 2 3), so (cdr L) \Rightarrow (2 -1 4 0 9 2 3).
  Then X \Rightarrow (2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2) and \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (4 \ 2 \ 4 \ 0 \ 2).
  o For <u>this</u> L, what is a good ... expression?
    Ans.: (cons (car L) X).
  ○ Is (cons (car L) X) a good _____ expression for <u>all</u> non-null
    values of L such that (not (oddp (car L)))? Ans. YES!
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
    (if (null L)
        nil
        (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
           an expression that ⇒ value of (evens L) and that involves X and, possibly, L

    We've seen that X is a good _____ if (oddp (car L)).

if (not (oddp (car L))).
• So now we can write ____ as:
          (cond ((oddp (car L)) X)
                (t (cons (car L) X)))
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
    (if (null L)
         nil
         (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
           (cond ((oddp (car L)) X)
                 (t (cons (car L) X)))))

    We've seen that X is a good _____ if (oddp (car L)).

We've seen that (cons (car L) X) is a good ______
 if (not (oddp (car L))).
• So now we can write ____ as:
          (cond ((oddp (car L)) X)
                 (t (cons (car L) X)))
```

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
 If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
    (if (null L)
         nil
         (let ((X (evens (cdr L))))
           (cond ((oddp (car L)) X)
                  (t (cons (car L) X)))))

    We've seen that X is a good _____ if (oddp (car L)).

We've seen that (cons (car L) X) is a good ______
  if (not (oddp (car L))).
• So now we can write ____ as shown above!
Q. Is there any case in which X is used <u>more than once</u>?
A. No! X is used <u>just once</u> in each of the 2 cases of the cond.
```

- **Q.** Is there any case in which **X** is used <u>more than once</u>?
- A. No! X is used <u>just once</u> in each of the 2 cases of the cond.
- So we can <u>eliminate the LET</u> and substitute (evens (cdr L)) for each occurrence of X, to simplify the definition.

```
Example Write a function evens such that:

If L ⇒ a proper list of integers, then

(evens L) ⇒ a list obtained from L by omitting its odd elements.

(defun evens (L)

(if (null L)

nil

(cond ((oddp (car L)) (evens (cdr L)) ±)

(t (cons (car L) (evens (cdr L)) ±)))

(**Total Condition (condition evens (cdr L)) (evens (cdr L)) (even
```

- **Q.** Is there any case in which **X** is used <u>more than once</u>?
- A. No! X is used <u>just once</u> in each of the 2 cases of the cond.
- So we have <u>eliminated the LET</u> and substituted (evens (cdr L))
 for each occurrence of X, to simplify the definition.

```
Example Write a function evens such that:
If l \Rightarrow a proper list of integers, then
 (evens l) \Rightarrow a list obtained from l by <u>omitting</u> its odd elements.
  (defun evens (L)
    (if (null L)
        nil
        (cond ((oddp (car L)) (evens (cdr L)) \cong)
                 (t (cons (car L) (evens (cdr L)) \stackrel{>}{\approx}))

    We have <u>eliminated the LET</u> and substituted (evens (cdr L))

 for each occurrence of X, to simplify the definition.
• To further simplify the definition, we can replace
 (if (null L) nil (cond ... )) with (cond ((null L) nil) ... ):
    (defun evens (L)
      (cond ((null L) nil)
             ((oddp (car L)) (evens (cdr L)))
             (t (cons (car L) (evens (cdr L))))))
```

Recursive Functions of More Than One Argument

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Suppose there are just 2 arguments and the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

Recursive Functions of More Than One Argument

- In simple definitions (such as the definitions you are expected to write for Lisp Assignment 4), only <u>one</u> of the arguments of the recursive call needs to have a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Now suppose the <u>second</u> (rather than the first) argument of the recursive call is the argument that has a different value from the corresponding argument of the current call. Then, assuming that argument ⇒ a proper list or nonnegative integer, we can often define the function as follows:

Example Without using append, write a function **append-2** such that: If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)

So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

- To solve this problem in the above-mentioned way, we must first decide whether it is the <u>first</u> or the <u>second</u> argument of the recursive call that will have a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- Experienced programmers are able to "look ahead" and see which of these two possibilities leads to a good function definition, but if you can't see which choice is right then just <u>quess</u>: If your guess doesn't yield a good definition, go back and make the other choice!
- We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u> argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the corresponding argument of the current call.
- This will turn out to be the right choice!

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

    We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u>

 argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the
 corresponding argument of the current call:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                                              What will this be?
    (if (null L1)
         value of (append-2 nil L2)
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
            an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 ()))
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)

    We will attempt to write the function by giving the <u>first</u>

 argument of the recursive call a smaller value than the
 corresponding argument of the current call:
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
                                 value of (append-2 nil L2)
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
            an expression that \Rightarrow value of (append-2 L1 L2)
            and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
```

- To write the ____ expression, let's consider a possible pair of values of L1 and L2, the resulting value of X, and what ____ 's value should be in this case:
- Suppose L1 ⇒ (1 2 3 4) and L2 ⇒ (A B C), so (cdr L1) ⇒ (2 3 4) and X ⇒ (2 3 4 A B C). For this L1 and L2, should ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2) will ⇒ (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
So: (append-2 '(1 2 3 4) '(A B C)) \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          12
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
       will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
 Q. Is (cons (car L1) X) a good ____ expression for all
        valid values of L1 and L2 such that L1 \Rightarrow NIL?
 A. If we're not sure, try another pair of values of L1 & L2.
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          L2
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (A B C D E F) and L2 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 5 6 7),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (B C D E F) and X \Rightarrow (B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  For this L1 and L2, \longrightarrow should \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7).
  \circ (cons (car L1) X) \Rightarrow (A B C D E F 1 2 3 4 5 6 7) too. Good!
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
          L2
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
              an expression that ⇒ value of (append-2 L1 L2) and that involves X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2 )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
  Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
        will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

When we are satisfied that (cons (car L1) X) is a good ______
 expression for all valid values of L1 and L2 such that L1 ⇒ NIL, we complete the above definition!

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
     (if (null L1)
         L2
          (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
             (cons (car L1) X) )))
• Suppose L1 \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4) and L2 \Rightarrow (A B C),
  so (cdr L1) \Rightarrow (2 3 4) and X \Rightarrow (2 3 4 A B C).
  For this L1 and L2, \cdots should \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C).
 Q. What expression (involving X and, possibly, L1 and/or L2)
       will \Rightarrow (1 2 3 4 A B C)? Ans.: (cons (car L1) X)
```

```
Example Without using append, write a function append-2 such that:
 If L1 \Rightarrow a proper list and L2 \Rightarrow a proper list, then
 (append-2 L1 L2) \Rightarrow a list that is equal to (append L1 L2)
  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
         L2
         (let ((X (append-2 (cdr L1) L2)))
           (cons (car L1) X))))

    X is never used more than once, so we eliminate the LET:

  (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
    (if (null L1)
        12
        (cons (car L1) \stackrel{\times}{\pm} (append-2 (cdr L1) L2))\stackrel{1}{\Rightarrow}))
Final version: (defun append-2 (L1 L2)
                   (if (null L1)
                        L2
                        (cons (car L1) (append-2 (cdr L1) L2))))
```