

Syntax and Semantics of Expressions

Loosely speaking:

- **Syntax** means “form”.
- **Semantics** means “meaning”.

In the context of programming languages:

- The Java expressions $a - 3 - b$ and $u - 7 - v$ have the *same syntax*, but *different semantics*.
- The Java expressions $a - 3 - b$ and $((a - 3) - b)$ have the *same semantics*, but *different syntax*.
- The Lisp expression $(- (- 2 3) 4)$ and the Java expression $2 - 3 - 4$ have the *same semantics* but have *different syntax*.

Operators, Arities, and Operands

An *operator of arity k* , also called a *k -ary operator*, is a symbol that represents a function of k arguments.

- *Binary* means 2-ary.
- *Unary* means 1-ary.
- *Ternary* means 3-ary.

When a k -ary operator appears in an expression, each of the k subexpressions whose values are the k arguments of the function it represents is called an *operand* of the operator.

Examples

In a Java or C++ expression $x - y + z$

- $+$ is a binary operator whose operands are $x - y$ and z .
- $-$ is a binary operator whose operands are x and y .

In the Lisp expression $(+ (- x y) 3 (* z z))$

- $+$ is a ternary operator whose operands are $(- x y)$, 3 , and $(* z z)$.

Overview of Some Expression Notations

We will say that two expressions are equivalent if they have the same semantics.

Here is a Java expression: `f(g(h(1,2), f(3,4)), 5)`

We will now write equivalent expressions in:

- Infix notation, not making use of precedence classes
- Infix notation, making use of precedence classes
- Lisp notation (also called **Cambridge Polish Notation**)
- Prefix notation
- Postfix notation (also called **Reverse Polish Notation**)

Infix Notation

In infix notation, we write binary operators *between* their operands--e.g., `f(3,4)` would be written `3 f 4`.

So the above Java expression is equivalent to the following infix expression: `((1 h 2) g (3 f 4)) f 5`

The designer of an infix notation will usually give ***precedence and associativity rules*** for the operators, to reduce the need for parentheses.

Suppose the notation designer specifies that:

- f and g belong to the ***same*** precedence class, and that precedence class is ***left-associative***.
- h belongs to a ***higher*** precedence class than f and g.

Now the above infix expression $((1\ h\ 2)\ g\ (3\ f\ 4))\ f\ 5$ is equivalent to:

$1\ h\ 2\ g\ (3\ f\ 4)\ f\ 5$

An Analogous Example

In ordinary infix arithmetic notation:

- + and - belong to the same precedence class, and that precedence class is left-associative.
- * belongs to a higher precedence class than + and -.

Thus the infix expression
is equivalent to:

$((1\ *\ 2) - (3 + 4)) + 5$
 $1\ *\ 2 - (3 + 4) + 5$

Lisp Notation and Prefix Notation

The above Java expression `f(g(h(1,2), f(3,4)), 5)` is equivalent to the following Lisp expression: `(f (g (h 1 2) (f 3 4)) 5)`

Prefix notation is Lisp notation *without parentheses*.

Thus the above Java expression is equivalent to the following prefix expression: `f g h 1 2 f 3 4 5`

Prefix notation is not ambiguous *if we know the arity of every operator*: Then we can “put parentheses back” to produce an equivalent Lisp expression.

If we do not know the arities of operators, then prefix notation can be ambiguous.

For example, if `+` and `-` are binary then `+ 1 - 2 3` is equivalent to `(+ 1 (- 2 3))`, but if `+` is ternary and `-` is unary then `+ 1 - 2 3` is equivalent to `(+ 1 (- 2) 3)`.

“RpnLisp” Notation and Postfix Notation

In Lisp, a function call is written as a list whose first element is the function name.

Now consider a notation we’ll call *rpnLisp* that’s the same as Lisp except in that a function call is written as a list whose last element is the function name.

Thus the Lisp expression `(+ (- 1 2) (* 3 4 5) 6)` is equivalent to the following *rpnLisp* expression: `((1 2 -) (3 4 5 *) 6 +)`

Just as prefix notation is “Lisp notation without parentheses”, postfix notation is “*rpnLisp notation without parentheses*”.

So the above expressions are equivalent to this postfix expression: `1 2 - 3 4 5 * 6 +`

In *rpnLisp*, *rpn* stands for “reverse polish notation”.

The above Java expression `f(g(h(1,2), f(3,4)), 5)`
is equivalent to the
Lisp expression `(f (g (h 1 2) (f 3 4)) 5)`
and this rpnLisp expression: `((1 2 h) (3 4 f) g) 5 f)`

Thus the expression is equivalent
to this postfix expression: `1 2 h 3 4 f g 5 f`

As is the case with prefix notation, postfix notation
isn't ambiguous if we know the arity of each operator:

If we do not know the arities of operators, then
postfix notation can be ambiguous.

For example:

- If + and - are binary, the postfix expression `1 2 3 + -`
is equivalent to the rpnLisp expression `(1 (2 3 +) -)`
and equivalent to the Lisp expression `(- 1 (+ 2 3)) => -4.`
- If + is ternary and - is unary, the expression `1 2 3 + -`
is equivalent to the rpnLisp expression `((1 2 3 +) -)`
and equivalent to the Lisp expression `(- (+ 1 2 3)) => -6.`